Claim Rejection - 35 U.S.C. §102

Claims 10-14 were rejected as being anticipated by Chan '022. This rejection is

respectfully traversed and should be withdrawn as the limitation of claim 15 is now included in

claim 10.

Claims 10-20 were rejected as being anticipated by Chan '237. This rejection is

respectfully traversed.

The first named inventor on Chan '237 is Selana Chan, who is one of the named

inventors on the pending application. The undersigned discussed Chan '237 with Selana Chan. She

explained that that the Examiner's position that Chan '237 teaches "a laser light source and a series

of lenses to form a gradient force optical trap," i.e., an "optical tweezer" is incorrect. She explained

that in Chan '237, the bead is held mechanically by the packed column, not by "a laser light source

and a series of lenses to form a gradient force optical trap," as recited in claim 1. The laser light in

Chan '237 is used simply for detection purposes and cannot be used to form a gradient force optical

trap. On the contrary, in the embodiments of present invention of claim 10, "a laser light source and

a series of lenses to form a gradient force optical trap" are used to hold the bead.

Selana Chan has further offered to make the above statements in a Rule 132 Declaration

under 37 CFR 1.132. Please advice if such a Declaration is required on the record for the allowance

of this case.

5

Application No. 10/815,264 Amendment dated July 19, 2007 Reply to Office Action of April 19, 2007

In view of the above amendment, applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Dated: July 19, 2007 Respectfully submitted,

By __/Raj S. Davé/ Raj S. Davé Registration No.: 42,465 DARBY & DARBY P.C. P.O. Box 770 Church Street Station New York, New York 10008-0770 (202) 639-7515 (212) 527-7701 (Fax) Attorneys/Agents For Intel Corporation

Docket No.: 21058/0206768-US0